

*Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.*

**Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)**

*As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.*

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

**1. General Description of Data to be Managed****1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:**

2013 - 2015 OLC Lidar DEM: Lane County, OR

**1.2. Summary description of the data:**

WSI was contracted by the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) to acquire and process Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data and Four-Band Radiometric Image Enhanced Survey of the Oregon Lidar Commission (OLC) Lane County area of interest, which encompasses 2,030,099 acres. Setting for LiDAR data capture produced an average resolution of at least eight pulses per square meter. All final deliverables are projected in Oregon Lambert, endorsed by the Oregon Geographic Information Council, using the NAD83(2011) horizontal datum and the NAVD88 (Geoid 12A) vertical datum, with units in international feet. Bare Earth digital elevation models (DEMs) of the area were produced from this Lidar data with 3 foot (1 meter) resolution.

The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) received 118 Bare Earth ADF DEM files from DOGAMI and processed the data to the Data Access Viewer (DAV) and https. These data are available for download at the link provided in the URL section of this metadata record. The lidar point cloud from which this DEM was derived is also available for download, and may be found in the Related Items section below.

Please note that these products have not been reviewed by the NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) and any conclusions drawn from the analysis of this information are not the responsibility of NOAA or OCM. Note; OCM did not receive a metadata record with this dataset, information was therefore obtained from the project reports, which are available below.

**1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?**

One-time data collection

**1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:**

2013-09-07 to 2015-06-08

**1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:**

W: -124.1, E: -121.67, N: 44.7, S: 43.3

**1.6. Type(s) of data:**

*(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)*  
Model (digital)

**1.7. Data collection method(s):**

*(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)*

**1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:****1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:****2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)****2.1. Name:**

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

**2.2. Title:**

Metadata Contact

**2.3. Affiliation or facility:**

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

**2.4. E-mail address:**

coastal.info@noaa.gov

**2.5. Phone number:**

(843) 740-1202

**3. Responsible Party for Data Management**

*Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.*

**3.1. Name:****3.2. Title:**

Data Steward

**4. Resources**

*Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.*

**4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?**

Yes

**4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (**

**specify percentage or "unknown"):**

Unknown

## **5. Data Lineage and Quality**

*NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.*

### **5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible**

*(describe or provide URL of description):*

Lineage Statement:

Watershed Sciences Inc. has collected Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) data in the Lane County Study Area for the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI). NOAA OCM has taken the data and ingested it into the Digital Coast Data Access Viewer for distribution.

Process Steps:

- The OLC Lane County Delivery 10 LiDAR survey utilized the Leica ALS80 sensor mounted in a Cessna Caravan 208. The system was programmed to emit single pulses at a rate of 350 kilohertz and flown at 1,500 meters above ground level (AGL), capturing a scan angle of +/-15 degrees from nadir (field of view equal to 30 degrees). These settings are developed to yield points with an average native density of greater than eight pulses per square meter over terrestrial surfaces. The native pulse density is the number of pulses emitted by the LiDAR system. Some types of surfaces such as dense vegetation or water may return fewer pulses than the laser originally emitted. Therefore, the delivered density can be less than the native density and lightly vary according to distributions of terrain, land cover, and water bodies. The study area was surveyed with opposing flight line side-lap of greater than 65 percent with at least 100 percent overlap to reduce laser shadowing and increase surface laser painting. The system allows up to four range measurements per pulse, and all discernible laser returns were processed for the output dataset. To solve for laser point position, it is vital to have an accurate description of aircraft position and attitude. Aircraft position is described as x, y, and z and measured twice per second (two hertz) by an onboard differential GPS unit. Aircraft attitude is measured 200 times per second (200 hertz) as pitch, roll, and yaw (heading) from an onboard inertial measurement unit (IMU). As illustrated in the accompanying map, 1,460 full and partial flightlines provide coverage of the study area.
- NOAA/OCM received the data from DOGAMI in Arc Grid format. Data were in NAD83(2011) Oregon Lambert horizontally and NAVD88 (Geoid12a) vertically. All units were international feet. For ingest into the Digital Coast Data Access Viewer and adhere to the Open Data Policy, data were converted to GeoTiff format. (Citation: Processed Lidar)

#### **5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these**

**data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:**

**5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):**

**6. Data Documentation**

*The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.*

**6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?**

No

**6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:**

Missing/invalid information:

- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility

**6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:**

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

**6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:**

**6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:**

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/57500>

**6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata**

*(describe or provide URL of description):*

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: [https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC\\_PD-Data\\_Documentation\\_v1.pdf](https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf)

**7. Data Access**

*NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.*

**7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?**

Yes

**7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?**

**7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:**

**7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:**

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

**7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:**

**7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:**

<https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=8870>

[https://coast.noaa.gov/htdata/raster2/elevation/OLC\\_laneCnty\\_2015\\_8870](https://coast.noaa.gov/htdata/raster2/elevation/OLC_laneCnty_2015_8870)

**7.3. Data access methods or services offered:**

Data is available online for custom downloads

**7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:**

**7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:**

**8. Data Preservation and Protection**

*The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.*

**8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:**

*(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)*

NCEI\_CO

**8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:**

**8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:**

**8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):**

Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

**8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:**

**8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?**

*Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection*

Data is backed up to tape and to cloud storage.

**9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions**

*Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.*